```
JONATHAN DAVID FRANK SBN89384
160 Thorn Street Suite 2
San Diego, CA 92103
(858) 722-2100
```

Attorney for Material Witnesses

5

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08CR2032JLS
) Mag. No. 08MJ8526
Plaintiff,)
) NOTICE OF HEARING ON
V.) MOTION FOR VIDEOTAPE
) DEPOSITIONAND SUBSEQUENT
GASTELUM,) VOLUNTARY DEPARTURE OF
) MATERIAL WITNESS
) DATE: July 17, 2008
) TIME: 2:30 P. M.
Defendants.) DEPT: BENCIVENGO
)

TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 26, 2008 at the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT located at 940 Front Street, San Diego, CA 92101 before the above-named Judge at 2:30 P. M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the material witness JORGE ORTIZ-GONZALEZ will move the Court for an Order that they be subjected to a videotape deposition prior to trial and subsequent voluntary departure.

This motion will be based on 18 U.S.C. 3144 in that the witnesses' testimony can be adequately secured by deposition and

	Case 3:08-cr-02032-JLS Document 13 Filed 07/02/2008 Page 2 of 2
1 2 3	further detention is not necessary to prevent a failure of justice. This motion is further based on this notice of motion, the
	memorandum of points and authorities and declarations filed
4 5	herewith, and as such other and further evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	Respectfully Submitted,
8	DATED: July 2, 2008
9	
10	/s/
11	JONATHAN DAVID FRANK, Attorney for Material Witnesses
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

```
JONATHAN DAVID FRANK
 1
    160 Thorn Street Suite 2
    San Diego, CA 92103
(858) 722-2100
    State Bar Number 89384
 3
    Attorney for Material Witnesses
 4
 5
 6
 7
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                        SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
                           Hon. CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO
10
11
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                            Case No. 08CR2032JLS
13
                                            Maq. No. 08MJ85265
         Plaintiff,
14
                                            DECLARATION OF COUNSEL
                                            IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
    V.
15
                                            VIDEO
                                                      DEPOSITION
                                                                     OF
    GASTELUM,
                                            MATERIAL WITNESS
16
                                            DATE: 7/17/08, 2008
17
                                            TIME: 2:30 P. M.
         Defendants.
                                            DEPT: BENCIVENGO
18
```

I, JONATHAN DAVID FRANK declare:

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all the courts of this State and this District and Circuit. I am the attorney of record for the material witnesses in the above action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this action and could testify competently thereto if called.

My client, JORGE ORTIZ-GONZALEZ, in this action, has been in federal custody since June 5, 2008. He has been held as a material witness in the above captioned case. My client has been unable to

locate a surety to post bond.

2.1

2.4

This case was indicted on or about June 18, 2008.

My client has indicated to me that each day they spend in custody is a hardship to my client and his family as he is unable to help to support his family as set forth in his declaration filed concurrently herewith.

The testimony of the witness could be secured via videotaped deposition. This is a procedure in routine practice in this district in material witness cases. At such a deposition, all parties would be given the opportunity to fully and completely examine the witness. In addition, the proceedings would be videotaped creating a visual and auditory record of the proceeding. Such videotaped deposition could be introduced at trial in stead of the live testimony of the material witness.

Further, there are three other material witnesses represented by James Alvord.

Based on the above it respectfully requested that this motion be granted, that said deposition take place at the earliest possible time, and that my client be allowed to voluntarily return to his home in Mexico immediately thereafter.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge except as to those matters stated to be based upon information and belief and, as to those matters, I am informed and believe that they are true and correct. Executed this July 2, 2008 in Poway, California.

/s/ JONATHAN DAVID FRANK, Attorney for Material Witnesses

release if the testimony of such witness can be adequately secured by deposition and if further detention is not necessary to prevent a failure of justice".

26

27

28

Furthermore, Fed. R. Crim. P. 15(a) specifies that a material

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

witness may make a motion requesting such a deposition and the district court has the authority to order the taking of the deposition and thereafter to discharge the detained witness from custody.

As the Fifth Circuit stated in <u>Aguilar-Ayala v. Ruiz</u>, 973 F.2d 411 (1992) at page 413:

Read together, Rule 15(a) and section 3144 provide a detained witness with a mechanism for securing his own release. He must file a "written motion", Fed. R. Crim. P. 15(a), requesting that he be deposed. The motion must demonstrate that his "testimony can adequately be secured by deposition" and that "further detention is not necessary to prevent a failure of justice" 18 U.S.C. section 3144. Upon such showing, the district court must order his deposition and prompt release. Id. material witness may be detained" if he makes such a Although Rule 15(a) is couched in the showing). permissive "May" not the mandatory "shall", Fed. R. Crim. P. 15(a) ("the court...may direct that the witness' deposition be taken"), it is clear from a conjunctive reading with section 3144 that the discretion to deny the motion is limited to those instances in which the deposition would not serve as an adequate substitute for the witness' live testimony: that a "failure of justice" would ensue were the witness released...absent a "failure of justice", the witness must be released.

This is also the law in the Ninth Circuit as demonstrated by the very recent case of <u>Torres-Ruiz v. United States District Court</u> for the Southern District of California, 120 F3d 933 (9th Cir 1997). The court "agreed with the reasoning of [<u>Aguilar-Ayala</u>, <u>supra</u>]" and reversed Judge Huff who had denied a motion for a deposition on facts virtually identical to the instant action . As the court stated:

In the instant case, two young men ages 19 and 22, have apparently been randomly selected out of a group of 27 undocumented aliens and detained for a period of over 60 days as material witnesses in a straightforward and uncomplicated alien smuggling prosecution. These young men state without opposition by either party to this case that they are the sole support for their respective families in Mexico, and that every day they remain in

JONATHAN DAVID FRANK

```
1
    JONATHAN DAVID FRANK
    160 Thorn Street Suite 2
 2
    San Diego, CA 92103
(858) 722-2100
    State Bar Number 89384
 3
    Attorney for Material Witnesses
 4
 5
 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
                                    Hon.
10
11
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                           Case No. 08CR2032JLS
                                           Mag. No. 08MJ8526
12
         Plaintiff,
                                           DECLARATION
                                                          OF
                                                               JORGE
13
    v.
                                           ORTIZ-GONZALEZ
                                           IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
14
    GASTELUM,
                                           VIDEO
                                                    DEPOSITION
                                           MATERIAL WITNESS
15
         Defendants.
16
                                           TIME: 2:30 P.M.
                                           DEPT: RENCIVENGO
17
         I, JORGE ORTIZ-GONZALEZ declare:
18
         I am over the age of 21 and if called as a witness, I could
19
    competently testify to the matters declared herein. I have been in
20
    federal custody since June 5, 2008. I have been held as material
21
   witness in the above captioned case. I have been unable to locate
22
   a surety to post bond.
23
    /////
24
    /////
25
    /////
26
    /////
27
    /////
28
```

Gase 3:08-cr-02032-JLS Document 13-5 Filed 07/02/2008 Page 1 of 2

Each day I spend in custody is a hardship to me and my family. I have a common law wife and three minor children ages 2, 6 and 7. I am their sole means of support. I have been supporting them as a construction worker in Mexico for the past 9 - 10 years. Each day I spend is custody is another day that I am unable to support my family.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge except as to those matters stated to be based upon information and belief and, as to those matters, I am informed and believe that they are true and correct. Executed this 66/27/68 in El Centro, California.

15/ JORGE OFF. 26.